The bHLH transcription factor OsPRI1 activates the *Setaria viridis PEPC1* promoter in rice

Pedro Carvalho[1] (iD), Célia Gomes[1] (iD), Ivan Gonçalves[1], Tiago F. Lourenço[1] (iD), Daniela Vlad[2] (iD), Jane A. Langdale[2] (iD) and Nelson J. M. Saibo[1,3] (iD)

[1]Instituto de Tecnologia Química e Biológica António Xavier da Universidade Nova de Lisboa (ITQB NOVA), 2780-157, Oeiras, Portugal; [2]Department of Biology, University of Oxford, South Parks Rd, OX1 3RB, Oxford, UK; [3]Instituto de Biologia Experimental e Tecnológica (iBET), 2780-157, Oeiras, Portugal

Author for correspondence:
Nelson J. M. Saibo
Email: saibo@itqb.unl.pt

Summary

- Photosynthetic efficiency is reduced by the dual role of Rubisco, which acts either as a carboxylase or as an oxygenase, the latter leading to photorespiration. C_4 photosynthesis evolved as a carbon-concentrating mechanism to reduce photorespiration. To engineer C_4 into a C_3 plant, it is essential to understand how C_4 genes, such as *phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase* (*PEPC1*), are regulated to be expressed at high levels and in a cell-specific manner.
- Yeast one-hybrid screening was used to show that OsPRI1, a rice bHLH transcription factor involved in iron homeostasis, binds to the *Setaria viridis PEPC1* promoter. This promoter drives mesophyll-specific gene expression in rice. The role of OsPRI1 *in planta* was characterized using a rice line harbouring *SvPEPC1_{pro}::GUS*.
- We show that OsPRI1 activates the *S. viridis PEPC1* promoter by binding to an N-box in the proximal promoter, and that GUS activity is highly reduced in *SvPEPC1_{pro}::GUS* lines when *OsPRI1* is mutated. Cross-species comparisons showed that the SvPRI1 homolog binds to the *SvPEPC1* promoter but the maize ZmPRI1 does not bind to the *ZmPEPC1* promoter.
- Our results suggest that elements of the iron homeostasis pathway were co-opted to regulate *PEPC1* gene expression during the evolution of some but not all C_4 species.

Introduction

Rice is the staple food crop for more than half of the world's population (Maclean *et al.*, 2013). In a climate change scenario, regions with warm and dry seasons are predicted to increase, creating a new constraint on agro-industrial systems. Combined with an increasing population, this presents a huge challenge to plant research (Godfray *et al.*, 2010) because crop production must be improved under adverse environmental conditions. C_4 photosynthesizing plants are higher yielding in warm dry environments than their C_3 counterparts because the C_4 pathway generates a higher carbon concentration around the central carbon fixing enzyme, ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco). This concentrating mechanism suppresses the enzyme's oxygenase activity and consequently eliminates the energetically wasteful process of photorespiration (Sage *et al.*, 2012). Understanding the molecular mechanisms underlying the regulation of the C_4 carbon concentrating shuttle is crucial for engineering C_4 metabolism into C_3 plants such as rice. Predictions indicate that engineering C_4 photosynthesis into rice could lead to a 50% increase in photosynthetic efficiency, which should translate into a substantial increase in grain yield (von Caemmerer *et al.*, 2012).

Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPC) is a critical enzyme in the C_4 cycle, because it is responsible for the initial fixation of

CO_2 (Svensson *et al.*, 2003). In comparison with PEPC enzymes in C_3 plants, C_4 pathway PEPCs have altered enzyme kinetics and accumulate specifically in leaf mesophyll cells. It is this mesophyll-specific accumulation profile that enables an initial carbon fixation step to occur in the absence of Rubisco, which accumulates specifically in the bundle sheath cells of C_4 leaves (Hatch & Slack, 1966). Notably, all of the enzymes required for C_4 metabolism can be found in ancestral C_3 species (Sage *et al.*, 2012). The evolution of the C_4 pathway occurred in multiple independent occasions (Sage, 2004). This required the innovation or co-option of mechanisms to ensure that genes, encoding C_4 enzymes, are either transcriptionally or post-transcriptionally regulated in the appropriate cell type.

Zea mays (maize) and *Setaria viridis* (setaria) represent two independent origins of the C_4 pathway, with both species belonging to the so-called PACMAD clade of grasses, in which there are multiple origins of C_4 (Grass Phylogeny Working Group II, 2012). By contrast, there are no C_4 species in the sister (BEP) clade, which includes *Oryza sativa* (rice; Grass Phylogeny Working Group II, 2012). It has been shown that a number of *PEPC* promoters from C_4 grass species drive mesophyll-specific gene expression in rice, indicating that the *trans*-regulatory network required for cell-specificity is present in rice (Matsuoka *et al.*, 1994; Ku *et al.*, 1999; Gupta *et al.*, 2020). Although *cis*-elements in a number of different C_4 *PEPC* promoters have been

characterized (Stockhaus *et al.*, 1997; Gowik *et al.*, 2004; Akyildiz *et al.*, 2007; Gupta *et al.*, 2020), the knowledge of transcription factors (TFs) that bind C_4 *PEPC1* promoters is restricted to maize, where five have been identified (Yanagisawa & Sheen, 1998; Górska *et al.*, 2019, 2021). The only known TF from rice that has been shown to bind to C_4 *PEPC1* promoter is OsbHLH112, an homologue of ZmbHLH80 and ZmbHLH90, which have been reported as regulators of $ZmPEPC1_{pro}$ in maize (Górska *et al.*, 2019).

The value of C_4 *PEPC1* promoters for biotechnological approaches in rice has already been demonstrated in the C_4 Rice project, where different *PEPC* promoters were used to drive expression of genes encoding the mesophyll-specific enzymes of the C_4 cycle (Ermakova *et al.*, 2021). Although mesophyll-specificity was achieved, the high expression level that characterizes C_4 *PEPC* promoter activity in C_4 species was not. It is thus important to understand the *trans* factors that regulate C_4 promoters in rice to facilitate a better manipulation of gene activity for biotechnological applications.

Here, we used yeast one-hybrid (Y1H) assays to identify a bHLH TF from rice that activates expression of the *S. viridis PEPC1* gene promoter. Activation was confirmed *in planta* in rice using promoter-reporter fusions. The known role of this bHLH in C_3 plants, and the fact that its setaria homolog binds to the *SvPEPC1* gene promoter, allows to hypothesize a link between iron sensing/homeostasis and the C_4 photosynthetic metabolism in setaria.

Materials and Methods

Setaria virdis genomic DNA purification

Setaria virdis (L.) P.Beauv (var. ME034V) genomic DNA (gDNA) was isolated using a sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) extraction protocol. Briefly, gDNA was isolated from 2-wk-old seedling material ground in liquid nitrogen, 200 µl of extraction buffer (250 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5), 25 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 250 mM NaCl and 1% (v/v) SDS) was added to 25 mg of tissue followed by centrifugation at 12 000 g for 10 min. The aqueous phase was then transferred to a new tube, and 0.8 volumes of isopropanol were added. The mixture was incubated at room temperature (RT) for 10 minutes followed by centrifugation at 12 000 g for 10 min. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was washed twice with 200 µl of 70% ethanol and allowed to dry completely. gDNA was resuspended in 50 µl of ddH_2O with 10 µg ml^{-1} RNase A.

Cloning the *Setaria virdis PEPC1* promoter

The *SvPEPC1* (Sevir.4G143500) promoter, −1190 bp from the ATG, was amplified by PCR using primers with attB adaptors (Supporting Information Table S1). After analysis by gel electrophoresis, the PCR products were cloned into the pJet1.2/blunt cloning vector, using a CloneJet PCR cloning Kit (Thermo Fisher), and then, the inserted DNA was sequenced. The insert was then sub-cloned into the pDONR221 entry vector using the

Gateway cloning system (Thermo Fisher). Subsequently, the insert was sub-cloned into a modified pHGWFS7 vector to create reporter constructs expressing the *β-glucuronidase* (*GUS*) reporter gene under the control of the *SvPEPC1* promoter. Vector pHGWFS7 (Karimi *et al.*, 2002) was modified so that the *hptII* gene is expressed under the control of the rice *Actin* promoter isolated from pANIC6B expression vector (Mann *et al.*, 2011).

Rice transformation

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L. cv Kitaake) genetic transformation was performed following the protocol described by the Langdale Lab (https://langdalelab.files.wordpress.com/2018/06/kitaake-rice-transformation.pdf). Briefly, dehulled and surface disinfected rice seeds were placed in callus initiation media (R1 – 4.3 g l^{-1} MS salts and vitamins, 30 g l^{-1} sucrose, 0.5 g l^{-1} MES, 300 mg l^{-1} casamino acid, 2.8 g l^{-1} L-proline, 2 mg l^{-1} 2,4-D, 4 g l phytagel, pH 5.8) for 2 wk in continuous light at 32°C, to initiate callus formation. Afterwards, calli were sub-cultured to fresh R1 for 3 d followed by incubation with *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (strain EHA105; Wise *et al.*, 2006), harbouring the construct of interest. Following Agrobacterium transformation, calli were grown in co-cultivation media (R2 – 4.3 g l^{-1} MS salts and vitamins, 30 g l^{-1} sucrose, 0.5 g l^{-1} MES, 10 g l^{-1} glucose, 300 mg l^{-1} casamino acid, 2 mg l^{-1} 2,4-D, 4 g l^{-1} phytagel, 20 mg l^{-1} acetosyringone, pH 5.2) on top of sterile filter paper for 3 d in the dark at RT. Hygromycin-resistant calli were selected on selection medium (R3 – 4.3 g l^{-1} MS salts and vitamins, 30 g l^{-1} sucrose, 0.5 g l^{-1} MES, 300 mg l^{-1} casamino acid, 2.8 g l^{-1} L-proline, 2 mg l^{-1} 2,4-D, 4 g l^{-1} phytagel, 200 mg l^{-1} timentin and 30 mg l^{-1} hygromycin, pH 5.8) for 2 wk in continuous light at 32°C, followed by a second round of selection in the same conditions. Plant regeneration was achieved by growing hygromycin-resistant calli on regeneration medium (R4 – 4.3 g l^{-1} MS salts and vitamins, 30 g l^{-1} sucrose, 0.5 g l^{-1} MES, 2 g l^{-1} casamino acid, 30 g l^{-1} sorbitol, 2 mg l^{-1} kinetin, 1 mg l^{-1} NAA, 200 mg l^{-1} timentin, 20 mg l^{-1} hygromycin and 4 g l^{-1} phytagel, pH 5.8) in rounds of 2-wk culture in continuous light at 32°C. Regenerated plants were assessed by PCR for the presence of the hygromycin resistance gene (*hptII*). Positive plants were transferred into soil and grown in a growth chamber at 28°C with a 12 h : 12 h, light : dark photoperiod and light intensity of 400 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1}.

To obtain loss-of-function *OsPRI1* alleles, single-guide RNAs (sgRNA) were designed using the web-based tool CRISPR-P (http://crispr.hzau.edu.cn/CRISPR2/) with default settings. For rice transformation, sgRNAs were synthesized with *Bsa*I-compatible overhangs (Table S1) and cloned into a modified CRISPR-Cas9 expression vector (Miao *et al.*, 2013) under the control of a U3 promoter. The modified CRISPR-Cas9 expression vector contained the *neomycin phosphotransferase* (*nptII*) gene, allowing for the selection of rice calli using G418 (150 mg l^{-1}). In order to investigate the function of OsPRI1 regulating $SvPEPC1_{pro}$ in rice, the rice reporter line $SvPEPC1_{pro}$:: *GUS* (previously obtained as described above in the cv Kitaake) was used as background for the OsPRI1 knockout, using the CRISPR/Cas9 technology. Alternatively, we could have crossed a

rice reporter line $SvPEPC1_{pro}::GUS$ (cv Kitaake) with the *ospri1* mutants (cv Nipponbare) already reported (Zhang *et al.*, 2017), but since they have different backgrounds this would make the cross more complicated.

To evaluate putative Cas9 edits (indels), the targeted region of the *OsPRI1* gene was amplified by PCR using primers listed in Table S1 and sequenced. DNA sequence comparisons allowed the identification of mutations resulting from Cas9 activity. DNA sequence deconvolution was performed using the TIDE software (http://tide.nki.nl) with default settings. Transgenic plant lines with indels causing frameshift mutations were propagated until homozygous mutations were obtained in transgene (CRISPR-Cas9 cassette)-free lines.

Yeast one-Hybrid

Yeast bait strains containing three different overlapping fragments of the *SvPEPC1* promoter (F1: −1 to −300 bp; F2: −200 to −600 bp; F3: −500 to −1190 bp, from the ATG) were constructed. The *SvPEPC1* promoter fragments were amplified from the promoter by PCR, using primers listed in Table S1. Using *Not*I (*Nar*I for F2) and *Spe*I restriction sites included in the primer sequence, promoter fragments were cloned into the pINT/HIS vector system (Ouwerkerk & Meijer, 2001) and integrated into the Y187 yeast strain (Takara Bio, Shiga, Japan). Titration with 3-amino triazole (3-AT), a competitive inhibitor of the *HIS3* reporter gene product, was performed for all bait strains to determine the concentration needed to counter any nonspecific expression of the reporter gene. All experiments were performed in a synthetically defined (SD) medium (Takara Bio) with experimentally defined concentrations of 3-AT.

Bait strains were transformed with a salt-induced rice cDNA expression library available in the host laboratory (Almeida *et al.*, 2017). Yeast transformation was performed as described in the YEASTMAKER™ protocol (Takara Bio). Briefly, cells were cultivated overnight at 30°C in YPDA medium and collected by centrifugation at 300 $\boldsymbol{g}$ for 10 min. Pellets were washed twice with sterile water and competent cells were obtained using $1.1 \times$ TE/LiAc solution (10 mM Tris–HCl, 1 mM EDTA, 100 mM Lithium acetate, pH 7.5). Competent cells were incubated at 30°C with 800 ng of library plasmid DNA and 100 ng of carrier DNA (Takara Bio) for 30 min, followed by the addition of PEG/LiAc solution (40% (w/v) polyethylene glycol (PEG), 100 mM LiAc), and incubated at 42°C for 15 min. Transformed cells were plated on SD lacking leucine and histidine, with previously determined concentrations of 3-AT, and incubated for 3 d at 30°C. To calculate transformation efficiency, 1 : 100 and 1 : 1000 dilutions were plated (SD/−L) and colony-forming units (CFU) were counted after 3 d. For each bait strain over 1 million clones were screened (F1 – 1.83×10^6 CFU; F2 – 3.75×10^6 CFU; F3 – 1.05×10^6 CFU). To confirm OsPRI1 interactions, the pDEST22 expression vector containing *OsPRI1* cDNA, empty vector, or a nonrelated TF (the bHLH TF OsPIF14 (Cordeiro *et al.*, 2016) was used as the negative control.), were each transformed into the yeast bait strain. pDEST22 expression vectors were created using the Gateway technology.

Isolation of *PEPC* promoters and identification of TF homologues

Two overlapping fragments (spanning -1000 bp from the ATG) of the *PEPC* promoter from *Dichanthelium oligosanthes* (Schult) Gould (Do021545) and *Oryza sativa* (*OsPEPC1*- LOC_Os02g14770 and *OsPEPC4*- LOC_Os01g11054) were synthesized by Twist Biosciences according to the available sequences, with overhangs compatible for cloning into the pINT/HIS vector system as described before, using *Not*I and *Spe*I restriction enzymes. Homologues for OsPRI1 in maize (GRMZM2G017586 and GRMZM2G093744), setaria (Sevir.6G068300) and dichanthelium (OEL18192) were identified using OrthoFinder (Emms & Kelly, 2019). The identified orthologues were synthesized according to available DNA sequences. TF DNA sequences were cloned into pDONR221 and sub-cloned into pGADT7, using the Gateway system.

Recombinant protein production

For recombinant protein purification, OsPRI1 was fused to the C-terminal of the maltose-binding protein (MBP), by sub-cloning into the pMAL-C2X expression vector, adapted for gateway cloning, using the LR gateway technology. *Escherichia coli* strain Rosetta (DE3) pLysS was used for the expression of recombinant proteins. Cells transformed with pMAL::*MBP-OsPRI1* were grown at 37°C overnight, in Luria-Bertani medium (LB) to an $OD_{600} \approx 0.4$. Induction of MBP-OsPRI1 expression was performed using 0.8 mM IPTG, after which cultures were grown for 4 h at 16°C.

Cells were collected by centrifugation at 4000 $\boldsymbol{g}$ for 10 min and then lysis buffer (100 mM $KHPO_4$, 0.25 mM $MgCl_2$, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), $1\times$ Protease Inhibitor Complete (Roche), 0.1 mg ml^{-1} Lysozyme, 0.1 mg ml^{-1} DNAse) was added to the pellet on ice. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 14 000 $\boldsymbol{g}$ for 1 h at 4°C. MBP and MBP-OsPRI1 recombinant proteins were purified by affinity chromatography using MBPTrap HP (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA) and HiLoad 16/600Superdex 200 pg columns (GE Healthcare). Recombinant protein purification was assessed by SDS-PAGE.

Radioactive electrophoretic mobility shift assay

DNA probes were designed to include putative bHLH binding sites (*cis*-elements) plus flanking regions, defined here as 13 nucleotides to either side of the element. Putative bHLH binding sites were identified by scanning the promoter fragment for 'CANNTG', 'GTNNAC', 'CACGGC', 'CGGCAC', 'CACGAG' and 'GAC-GAC'. Probes used in this study are described in Table S2.

Single-stranded oligos were annealed in labelling buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl pH 8, 50 mM NaCl and 1 mM Na_2EDTA pH 8) by incubation at 95°C for 5 min, and reactions were allowed to cool down to RT. DNA probes were radiolabelled with ATP [γ-32P] (Perkin-Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA) using T4 polynucleotide kinase (PNK; Sigma-Aldrich). Ten picomoles of double-strand DNA probe was incubated with T4 PNK at 37°C for 60 min, and the reaction was stopped by addition of 0.5 M EDTA followed by 15 min incubation at 75°C. Unincorporated ATP was removed

using Sephadex G-25 columns following the manufacturer's instructions (Sigma-Aldrich). Radiolabelling was confirmed using a Geiger counter.

Protein-DNA incubation was conducted in binding buffer (1 mM HEPES pH 7.9, 4 mM KCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 50 ng herring sperm DNA, 0.05% (w/v) BSA, 10% (w/v) glycerol) at 30°C for 1 h, using 1 µg of recombinant protein and 5 pmol of labelled probe. For competition assays, unlabelled probe ('cold') was used in $600\times$ molar excess.

Reactions were loaded onto NativePAGE 4 to 16% precast gels (Invitrogen), and electrophoresis was run at 50 V for 3 h at 4°C in an X-Cell SureLock™ Mini-Cell system. Radioactivity detection was performed using a phosphor screen, imaged with Fuji TLA-5100.

Isolation and transformation of rice protoplasts

Protoplasts were obtained as described in Górska *et al.* (2021). Rice protoplasts were isolated from rice cell suspension culture or etiolated seedlings. Rice suspension cell cultures were grown for 4 d, and cells were collected by centrifugation in a 'swing-out' rotor at 150 g for 5 min. The digestion solution (0.4 M mannitol, 10 mM MES pH 5.7, 1 mM CaCl$_2$, 0.1% BSA, 50 mg L-1 ampicillin, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 2.25% (w/v) Cellulase R10 (Duchefa, Haarlem, the Netherlands), 0.75% (w/v) Macerozyme R10 (Duchefa)) was added to the cell pellet or to the etiolated seedling pieces, and the samples were vacuum infiltrated. Digestion was performed for 5 h with 80 RPM orbital agitation at RT. The enzyme solution containing protoplasts was filtered through a 100 µm mesh, washed with 1 volume of wash solution (154 mM NaCl, 125 mM CaCl$_2$, 5 mM KCl, 2 mM MES pH 5.7) and filtered again through a 50 µm filter. Protoplasts were harvested by centrifugation in a 'swing-out' rotor (150 g, 5 min) and resuspended in 200 µl MMg solution (0.4 M mannitol, 4 mM MES pH 5.7, 15 mM MgCl$_2$). Afterwards, protoplasts were diluted to a 1×10^6 cells ml^{-1} concentration and permeabilized with polyethylene glycol 4000 (PEG 4000). Protoplasts were transformed by gently mixing 200 µl of protoplast solution with 10 µl of plasmid mix (2 µg of effector plasmid and 3 µg of reporter plasmid) and 220 µl PEG solution (PEG 4000 40%, 0.4 M mannitol, 0.1 M CaCl$_2$). Protoplasts were incubated at RT in the dark for 20 min before being diluted with three volumes of wash solution, harvested by centrifugation in a 'swing-out' rotor (150 g, 5 min) and resuspended in 750 µl incubation solution (0.4 M mannitol, 4 mM MES pH 5.7, 20 mM KCl). After this, protoplasts were incubated for 15–16 h at RT in the dark. Finally, protoplasts were collected by centrifugation at 150 g for 3 min at RT and the pellet was flashed frozen in liquid nitrogen.

Transactivation assay in rice cultured-derived protoplasts

Protoplasts were co-transformed with an effector plasmid (p2GW::*OsPRI1* or p2GW::Empty) and a reporter plasmid (pGreenII-LUC:: *SvPEPC1$_{pro}$* _*F3, F2, F1* or pGreenII-LUC:: Empty). Transformed protoplasts were lysed by the addition of 100 µl of Passive lysis buffer (Promega), followed by two freeze–thaw cycles. Luciferase activity assays were performed using the

Dual-Luciferase Reporter System (Promega), with a modified protocol. Briefly, 40 µl of LarII solution was added to 20 µl of protoplast lysate and *Luciferase* (LUC) activity was measured for 15 s. Immediately after, 40 µl of Stop&Glow solution was added to the same sample and *Renilla* (REN) activity was measured for 15 s. Measurements were performed using FluoStar fluorometer (BMG Labtech, Ortenberg, Germany). Transcriptional activity was defined as the LUC : REN ratio.

Plant growth conditions and gas exchange measurements

Plants were grown in hydroponics using Yoshida medium (1.4 mM NH$_4$NO$_3$, 370 µm NaH$_2$PO$_4$, 512 µM K$_2$SO$_4$, 998 µM CaCl$_2$, 1.6 mM MgSO$_4$, 9.5 µM MnCl$_2$, 0.075 µM (NH$_4$)$_6$Mo$_7$O$_{24}$, 0.152 µM ZnSO$_4$, 19 µM H$_3$BO$_3$, 0.16 µM CuSO$_4$, 100 µM FeNaEDTA, 70.7 µM citric acid, pH 5.4). Growth conditions were set at constant 28°C with a 12 h : 12 h, light : dark photoperiod with 800 µmolm^{-2}s^{-1} light intensity. Rice seedlings were grown for 2 wk in Yoshida medium, with a medium change every week. In order to subject the rice seedlings to iron deficiency, we removed FeNaEDTA from the Yoshida medium.

Photosynthetic measurements were performed using a Li6800 (LiCOR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA) with the following ambient settings: [CO$_2$]$_R$ at 400 ppm, light intensity 800 PAR, RH at 50–60%. The last fully expanded leaf of each plant was allowed to acclimate to chamber conditions before measurements were recorded. Data analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 8.

Analysis of gene expression in *Ospri1* mutant lines

Samples were collected at ZT4 (4 h from light initiation), which corresponds to the *SvPEPC1$_{pro}$*::*GUS* activity peak (Fig. S1). Three biological replicates were collected for each line, each biological sample representing a pool of three plants. Samples were macerated in liquid nitrogen and RNA was extracted using Direct-zol Miniprep (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA, USA), following the manufacturer's instruction. gDNA was removed using a Turbo DNA-*free* kit (Invitrogen), following the manufacturer's instructions. RNA was quantified by spectrophotometry and integrity verified by agarose electrophoresis. Five hundred nanograms of total RNA was used for reverse transcription. The cDNA was synthesized using Transcriptor first-strand cDNA synthesis kit (Roche), following the manufacturer's instructions and an anchored oligo (dT)$_{18}$ primer. The qPCR was performed using LightCycler SYBR Green I Master mix (Roche) and gene-specific primers (listed in Table S1). Peroxiredoxin-2C (PRXIIc, LOC_Os01g4842) was used as a housekeeping gene. Data analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 8.

Histological GUS detection

To analyse the cell-specific activity of *SvPEPC1$_{pro}$*::*GUS* in the reporter lines (with or without *OsPRI1* mutated), fully expanded leaves from 2-wk-old seedlings were hand-sectioned to obtain transverse leaf sections. GUS activity was detected using the 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-beta-D-glucuronic acid cyclohexylammonium salt (X-Gluc) cleavage assay. Samples were incubated in 90% ice-cold

acetone for 1 h at −20°C followed by a wash with 100 mM phosphate buffer (NaPO₄; pH 7.6) and then incubated with staining solution (2 mM X-Gluc, 100 mM phosphate buffer, 10 mM EDTA, 6 mM ferrocyanide, 6 mM ferricyanide) at 37°C for 1 h. A vacuum was applied to ensure uniform infiltration of the staining solution. After staining, samples were fixed by incubating in ethanol : acetic acid (3 : 1) solution for 1 h and then stored in 70% ethanol. Images were obtained using a Leica DM 6000B optical microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) and analysed using IMAGEJ software.

Results

The rice bHLH transcription factor OsPRI1 binds to a N-box in the *Setaria viridis PEPC1* promoter

The main goal of this work was to identify new rice TFs regulating a C₄ *PEPC* promoter in rice and to characterize their biological function in rice and in a C₄ plant. Since the *PEPC1* promoter from *S. viridis* is known to drive cell-specific gene expression in rice (Gupta *et al.*, 2020), and *S. viridis* is a C₄ plant with a short live cycle and relatively easy to transform, we selected the *PEPC1* promoter from setaria for this study. To identify potential regulators of the *S. viridis PEPC1* gene promoter (*SvPEPC1_{pro}*) in rice, fragments of the promoter were used as bait in a Y1H screen with a rice cDNA expression library. Among the 18 putative interactors identified (Table S3), only one was a TF, identified by sequence analysis as OsPRI1. Direct Y1H assays suggested that OsPRI1 binds to three separate regions (F1, F2 and F3) of the *SvPEPC1_{pro}* sequence (Fig. 1a,b). Transcription factors from the bHLH family are known to bind both E-boxes and N-boxes (Li *et al.*, 2006), but little is known regarding the nucleotide preference of each protein, with new softwares emerging to predict TF targets (e.g. Yan *et al.*, 2022; Cheng *et al.*, 2023). The *S. viridis PEPC1* promoter sequence contains

Fig. 1 OsPRI1 binds to the *Setaria viridis PEPC1* promoter. (a) Schematic representation of three overlapping fragments of the *SvPEPC1* promoter used as baits in a Yeast one-hybrid (Y1H) screening of a rice cDNA expression library. (b) Direct yeast one-hybrid (Y1H) assay to test the interaction of OsPRI1 with the three *SvPEPC1* promoter fragments. Increasing 3-amino triazole (3-AT) concentrations were used to eliminate yeast growth caused by nonspecific interactions. Empty pGAD vector and pGAD containing an unrelated bHLH (OsPIF14) were used as negative controls. (c) Electrophoretic mobility shift assay was performed using radiolabelled probe ('Hot') containing a N-Box motif (CACGAG), positioned as represented in panel A (probe 14 in Supporting Information Fig. S2), and a mutated form of the motif. Purified MBP-OsPRI1 and MBP proteins alone were used to test the interaction. Nonlabelled DNA ('Cold') was used for competition assays to confirm the specificity of the interaction.

seven different E-Boxes and two different N-Boxes variants, making a total of 17 putative bHLH binding sites (Fig. S2). Binding of OsPRI1 to probes for each of these 17 sites was tested in Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA; Fig. S2). No binding was observed for the probes present in the F2 and F3 regions, but shifts were observed with four probes in the F1 region (probes 11–14; Fig. S2). Further assays using mutated and nonlabelled probes showed that, among these four probes, only probe 14 is specifically bound by OsPRI1 (Figs 1c, S2). We thus conclude that OsPRI1 interacts with *SvPEPC1pro* by binding to a N-Box (CACGAG) positioned at -153 bp before the ATG in the *SvPEPC1* sequence, although other *cis*-elements may also play a role in the regulation of *SvPEPC1pro* by OsPRI1.

## OsPRI1 activates *SvPEPC1* promoter activity in transient protoplast assays

To test whether the binding of OsPRI1 to the *SvPEPC1* promoter is functionally relevant, the three fragments where OsPRI1 was shown to bind in Y1H assays were cloned upstream of a *LUC* reporter gene and rice protoplasts were co-transformed with reporter (F1-3::LUC) and effector (OsPRI1) constructs. Fig. 2 shows that OsPRI1 acts as an activator when the *LUC* reporter gene is driven by the F1 and F2 fragments but not by the F3 fragment. It is not clear whether activation via the F1 region is through the N box identified by EMSA, but it is notable that higher levels of activation are observed with the F2 region (threefold vs twofold with F1), in which no specific binding site could be identified.

OsPRI1 regulates the *SvPEPC1* promoter *in planta*

Given that *in vitro* and transient assays were indicative of a functional interaction between OsPRI1 and *SvPEPCpro*, we next sought to determine whether the interaction occurred *in planta*. It is notable that TFs have previously been identified as binding to different C4 *PEPC1* promoters, but their function has never been validated *in planta* (Hibberd & Covshoff, 2010). In order to investigate the function of OsPRI1 regulating *SvPEPC1pro* activity in rice, we have used a rice reporter line for the *S. viridis PEPC1* promoter (*SvPEPC1pro::GUS*) to edit OsPRI1. CRISPR-Cas9 was then used to obtain *Ospri1* loss-of-function mutants in the *SvPEPC1pro::GUS* background. Three independent *ospri1* mutations were obtained, each causing frameshifts that are predicted to form truncated proteins lacking the bHLH domain (Figs 3a, S3). To determine the impact of loss of OsPRI1 function on *SvPEPCpro* activity, *GUS* reporter gene expression was assayed by quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). Fig. 3b shows that *GUS* transcript levels were reduced by half relative to wild-type (WT) in all of the *Ospri1* mutant lines. Substantially less GUS activity was also observed in histological assays of leaf sections (Fig. 3c). However, some enzyme activity was detectable in mesophyll cells of *Ospri1* mutant plants (Fig. S4). When photosynthetic efficiency was assessed, only one *Ospri1* mutant line showed changes as compared to WT, indicating that the trait is not related to the *Ospri1* mutation but rather to the transgene insertion or with somaclonal variation resulting from the tissue-culture-based rice transformation (Fig. S3). This result reveals that OsPRI1 is not involved in

Fig. 2 OsPRI1 activates the *Setaria viridis PEPC1* promoter in rice protoplasts. (a) Schematics of constructs transformed into rice protoplasts. Each of the *SvPEPCpro* fragments (F1 to F3) was fused to a minimal 35S promoter to drive expression of the *Luciferase* (*LUC*) gene. The reporter constructs also contained the *Renilla* (*REN*) gene under the control of a complete 35S promoter. Reporter constructs containing just the minimal 35S promoter upstream of *LUC* were used as controls. Effector plasmids contained *OsPRI1* driven by the maize ubiquitin promoter (*ZmUbipro*) or empty vector (EV) as a control. (b) Constructs were co-transformed into rice protoplasts in the combinations indicated. Transactivation is shown as the ratio of LUC : REN activity for each protoplast transformation. Data were normalized for each reporter construct transformed with the empty vector. Error bars represent SEM. Statistical significance was tested using unpaired *t*-test (***, $P < 0.001$; $n = 4$–5).

Fig. 3 OsPRI1 activates the *Setaria viridis PEPC1* promoter in rice. (a) Schematic representation of *OsPRI1* gene showing exons (grey boxes), the bHLH motif (black boxes) and three independent mutations with indels that create frameshift mutations. Single-guide RNAs are highlighted in bold. (b) *GUS* transcript levels were analysed by reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) as a proxy for *SvPEPC1* promoter activity. Reporter indicates the *SvPEPC1*$_{pro}$::*GUS* reporter line, which was used as the background to generate the *Ospri1* mutants, Nonedited indicates *SvPEPC1*$_{pro}$::*GUS* lines that were transformed with CRISPR-Cas9 but that were not edited by Cas9. Statistical significance was tested using an unpaired *t*-test (**, $P < 0.01$; ***, $P < 0.001$; $n = 3$). (c) Transverse leaf sections from different rice lines (nonedited and *Ospri1* mutants) showing GUS activity in the mesophyll cells. Leaf sections were incubated for 1 h at 37°C in staining solution and cleared before imaging.

rice photosynthetic efficiency. Altogether, our results show that OsPRI1 is an important activator of *SvPEPC1*$_{pro}$ *in planta*, but loss of function is not sufficient to completely disrupt *SvPEPC1*$_{pro}$ activation or to impair cell-specificity, and OsPRI is not necessary for the C$_3$ photosynthesis.

OsPRI1 does not interact with the rice *PEPC* promoter

To assess how OsPRI1 and the *cis*-elements where it binds in the *SvPEPC1* promoter have been modified during the evolution of C$_4$ photosynthesis, we first identified *PEPC1* promoter sequences from two C$_4$ plants with independent C$_4$ origins (*Z. mays* and *S. viridis*), a C$_3$ member of the PACMAD clade (*D. oligosanthes*), and rice, a

member of the BEP clade. For rice, the promoter of both *OsPEPC1* and *OsPEPC4* were included in the analysis because *OsPEPC1* has been identified as the closest in sequence to C$_4$ PEPCs (Yamamoto *et al.*, 2022) and *OsPEPC4* is specifically expressed in mesophyll cells (Masumoto *et al.*, 2010). We also identified OsPRI1 homologues in *Z. mays* (GRMZM2G017586 and GRMZM2G093744), *S. viridis* (Sevir.6G068300) and *D. oligosanthes* (OEL18192; Fig. S5). Y1H assays were then carried out using fragments of each *PEPC* promoter as bait (Fig. 4a) in combination with each of the PRI1 proteins. Fig. 4(b) shows that OsPRI1 does not interact with the *OsPEPC* or *DoPEPC* promoter fragments, suggesting that the interaction between OsPRI1 and *SvPEPC*$_{pro}$ resulted from a C$_4$ innovation associated with *S. viridis*,

Fig. 4 Interaction between PRI1 and *PEPC* promoters was not pre-established in C₃ ancestors. (a) Schematic representation of the *PEPC* promoters in C₄ (*Setaria viridis* and *Zea mays*) and C₃ (*Oryza sativa* and *Dichanthelium oligosanthes*) grasses used in this study. (b) Interaction between the *PEPC* promoters and PRI1 homologues was tested using a direct yeast one-hybrid approach. Results are shown in the presence of histidine (His +) and in the absence of histidine (His −) with the addition of 3-amino triazole (3-AT; 5 mM for *DoPEPC1*_F1, *ZmPEPC1*_5U; 10 mM for *OsPEPC4*_F1) when required to deplete nonspecific interactions. Red lines in the cladogram indicate C₄ branches.

and possibly with other C$_4$ species in the same evolutionary clade, that changed *cis*-elements in the promoter. On the basis that *cis*-elements in the *SvPEPC$_{pro}$* are a C$_4$ innovation, binding of OsPRI1, SvPRI1 and ZmPRI proteins to those sequences suggests little variation in the TF activity as C$_4$ plants evolved. However, the lack of *SvPEPC$_{pro}$* binding by the DoPRI1 protein is an anomaly because *S. viridis* is more closely related to *D. oligosanthes* than rice, and the SvPRI1 protein binds to the *DoPEPC$_{pro}$*. Finally, the failure of any of the PRI proteins to bind the *ZmPEPC$_{pro}$*, suggests that *cis*-changes in the promoter occurred in a lineage-specific manner.

Discussion

OsPRI, a TF regulating the C$_4$ *SvPEPC* promoter activity in rice

Recent attempts to engineer C$_4$ metabolism into rice demonstrate that C$_4$ *PEPC1* promoters are an important biotechnological tool, since they drive mesophyll-specific expression of adjacent genes. Nevertheless, the expression levels obtained with these promoters were lower than that found in C$_4$ plants (Ermakova *et al.*, 2021). This observation highlights the importance of understanding the gene regulatory networks upstream of promoter function, in order to better manipulate expression levels in transgenic plants. To date, a single rice TF, OsbHLH112, has been shown to regulate a C$_4$ *PEPC1* promoter (Górska *et al.*, 2019). OsbHLH112 was shown to bind an E-box motif in the *ZmPEPC1* promoter and to activate its activity. In this work, we were able to identify a new rice TF, OsPRI1, which binds to a C$_4$ *PEPC1* promoter. We have shown that OsPRI1 binds the *SvPEPC1* promoter through a N-box motif and that it works as an activator (Figs 1, 2), albeit not on its own. Both, the transcript level of the reporter gene as well as the corresponding GUS activity in *Ospri1* mutants, indicate that other activators may also modulate *SvPEPC1* promoter activity (Figs 3, S4). We thus hypothesize that OsPRI1 is required to promote high levels of *SvPEPC1* promoter activity in rice rather than being a regulator of cell-specific gene expression. It is worth noting that elevated *PEPC* expression level is one of the key traits in C$_4$ plants, but it is considered to have preceded C$_4$ evolution (Sage, 2004).

The PRI1 network may have been co-opted during evolution to regulate C$_4$ photosynthesis in *Setaria viridis*

C$_4$ gene expression patterns are proposed to have evolved using pre-existing gene networks, with changes in promoter sequences underpinning innovations rather than changes in the pre-existing gene networks (Matsuoka *et al.*, 1994; Gupta *et al.*, 2020). We tested whether this hypothesis was true for the evolution of PRI1-*PEPC1* promoter interactions. We observed that PRI1-DNA interactions were dependent on both *trans* and *cis* sequence composition (Fig. 4). Moreover, our results indicate that PRI1 has been co-opted as a *PEPC1* regulator in the *S. viridis* lineage but not in the *Z. mays* lineage. Since the N-box where OsPRI1 binds in the *SvPEPC1* promoter is conserved in the *PEPC1*

promoter from *Urochloa maxima* (Fig. S6), which is known to drive mesophyll-specific gene expression in rice (Gupta *et al.*, 2020), we hypothesize that OsPRI1 can bind the *UmPEPC1* promoter. However, the *Panicum miliceum PEPC1* promoter, which also drives mesophyll-specific expression in rice, does not contain the same N-box (Fig. S6). What's more, OsPRI1 does not bind to the *OsPEPC4* promoter, which is also known to drive mesophyll-specific expression in rice (Masumoto *et al.*, 2010). Together, these results suggest that the evolution of high levels of mesophyll cell-specific *PEPC* expression is likely to have occurred through the co-option of different *cis* and *trans* factors in the independent C$_4$ evolution events.

OsPRI1 was described as a major player in iron response networks in rice, regulating several iron response genes and being regulated by a major iron sensor (Zhang *et al.*, 2017). Notably, iron levels are important regulators of proteins involved in the electron transport chain of photosystems in C$_3$ plants and ILR3, the OsPRI1 homologue in Arabidopsis, regulates levels of photosystem proteins in the Cytb6f and PSI complexes (Li *et al.*, 2019). We show that loss of OsPRI1 function has no influence on the carbon assimilation capacity or on photosystem efficiency in rice (Fig. S3). In addition, we observed that iron levels do not affect *SvPEPC1$_{pro}$* activity in rice (Fig. S3). We thus conclude that the relationship between ILR3 and photosystem function is not conserved in rice, and that if any relationship exists between PRI1 and photosynthesis, it might be a C$_4$ novelty.

It would now be interesting to understand how the misexpression of PRI1 homologues in C$_4$ plants affects the function of the C$_4$ cycle. We have attempted to obtain PRI1 loss-of-function mutants in *S. viridis*, using the CRISPR/cas9 system, but none of the transgenic plants obtained were edited. To overcome this issue and to get a better understanding of the gene regulatory networks where PRI1 is involved, it is important to overexpress PRI1 in both the reporter rice line (*SvPEPC1$_{pro}$::GUS*) and in *S. viridis*. These tools would be extremely valuable to unveil the molecular mechanisms underlying the evolution of the gene regulatory network from C$_3$ to C$_4$.

Competing interests

None declared.

Authors contributions

PC, JAL and NJMS conceived and designed the study. PC conducted the experiments. IG and CG assisted in the Y1H experiments. TFL assisted in the rice protoplast isolation and transformation. DV assisted in the rice genetic transformation and microscopy. PC, JAL and NJMS analysed the data. PC wrote the manuscript. JAL and NJMS reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

ORCID

Pedro Carvalho https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0760-323X
Célia Gomes https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1159-0555
Jane A. Langdale https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7648-3924
Tiago F. Lourenço https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5085-861X
Nelson J. M. Saibo https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6679-3811
Daniela Vlad https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1341-5868

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available in the Supporting Information of this article.

References

Akyildiz M, Gowik U, Engelmann S, Koczor M, Streubel M, Westhoff P. 2007. Evolution and function of a *cis*-regulatory module for mesophyll-specific gene expression in the C4 dicot *Flaveria trinervia*. *Plant Cell* 19: 3391–3402.

Almeida DM, Gregorio GB, Margarida Oliveira M, Saibo NJM. 2017. Five novel transcription factors as potential regulators of OsNHX1 gene expression in a salt tolerant rice genotype. *Plant Molecular Biology* 93: 61–77.

von Caemmerer S, Quick WP, Furbank RT. 2012. The development of C4 rice: current progress and future challenges. *Science* 336: 1671–1672.

Cheng H, Liu L, Zhou Y, Deng K, Ge Y, Hu X. 2023. TSPTFBS 2.0: *trans*-species prediction of transcription factor binding sites and identification of their core motifs in plants. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 14: 1175837.

Cordeiro AM, Figueiredo DD, Tepperman J, Borba AR, Lourenço T, Abreu IA, Ouwerkerk PBF, Quail PH, Oliveira MM, Saibo NJM. 2016. Rice phytochrome-interacting factor protein OsPIF14 represses OsDREB1B gene expression through an extended N-box and interacts preferentially with the active form of phytochrome B. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Gene Regulatory Mechanisms* 1859: 393–404.

Emms DM, Kelly S. 2019. OrthoFinder: phylogenetic orthology inference for comparative genomics. *Genome Biology* 20: 1–14.

Ermakova M, Arrivault S, Giuliani R, Danila F, Alonso-Cantabrana H, Vlad D, Ishihara H, Feil R, Guenther M, Borghi GL *et al.* 2021. Installation of C4 photosynthetic pathway enzymes in rice using a single construct. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* 19: 575–588.

Godfray HCJ, Beddington JR, Crute IR, Haddad L, Lawrence D, Muir JF, Pretty J, Robinson S, Thomas SM, Toulmin C. 2010. Food security: the challenge of feeding 9 billion people. *Science* 327: 812–818.

Górska AM, Gouveia P, Borba AR, Zimmermann A, Serra TS, Carvalho P, Lourenço TF, Oliveira MM, Peterhänsel C, Saibo NJM. 2021. ZmOrphan94 transcription factor downregulates ZmPEPC1 gene expression in maize bundle sheath cells. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 12: 559967.

Górska AM, Gouveia P, Borba AR, Zimmermann A, Serra TS, Lourenço TF, Margarida Oliveira M, Peterhänsel C, Saibo NJM. 2019. ZmbHLH80 and ZmbHLH90 transcription factors act antagonistically and contribute to regulate PEPC1 cell-specific gene expression in maize. *The Plant Journal* 99: 270–285.

Gowik U, Burscheidt J, Akyildiz M, Schlue U, Koczor M, Streubel M, Westhoff P. 2004. *cis*-Regulatory elements for mesophyll-specific gene expression in the C4 plant *Flaveria trinervia*, the promoter of the C4 phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase gene. *Plant Cell* 16: 1077–1090.

Grass Phylogeny Working Group II. 2012. New grass phylogeny resolves deep evolutionary relationships and discovers C4 origins. *New Phytologist* 193: 304–312.

Gupta SD, Levey M, Schulze S, Karki S, Emmerling J, Streubel M, Gowik U, Paul Quick W, Westhoff P. 2020. The C4 Ppc promoters of many C4 grass species share a common regulatory mechanism for gene expression in the mesophyll cell. *The Plant Journal* 101: 204–216.

Hatch MD, Slack CR. 1966. Photosynthesis by sugar-cane leaves. A new carboxylation reaction and the pathway of sugar formation. *The Biochemical Journal* 101: 103–111.

Hibberd JM, Covshoff S. 2010. The regulation of gene expression required for C4 photosynthesis. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 61: 181–207.

Karimi M, Inzé D, Depicker A. 2002. GATEWAY™ vectors for Agrobacterium-mediated plant transformation. *Trends in Plant Science* 7: 193–195.

Ku MSB, Agarie S, Nomura M, Fukayama H, Tsuchida H, Ono K, Hirose S, Toki S, Miyao M, Matsuoka M. 1999. High-level expression of maize phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase in transgenie rice plants. *Nature Biotechnology* 17: 76–81.

Li M, Zhang J, Jin H, Feng D, Wang J, Wang H-B, Liu B. 2019. The iron deficiency response regulators IAA-LEUCINE RESISTANT3 and bHLH104 possess different targets and have distinct effects on photosynthesis in Arabidopsis. *Journal of Plant Biology* 62: 109–119.

Li X, Duan X, Jiang H, Sun Y, Tang Y, Yuan Z, Guo J, Liang W, Chen L, Yin J *et al.* 2006. Genome-wide analysis of basic/helix-loop-helix transcription factor family in rice and Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiology* 141: 1167–1184.

Maclean J, Hardy B, Hettel G. 2013. *Rice Almanac: source book for one of the most important economic activities on earth*. Los Baños, Philippines: International Rice Research Institute.

Mann DGJ, LaFayette PR, Abercrombie L, Parrott W, Stewart CN. 2011. pANIC: a versatile set of gateway-compatible vectors for gene overexpression and RNAi-mediated down-regulation in monocots. In: Stewart CN, Touraev A, Citovsky V, Tzfira T, eds. *Plant transformation technologies*. Hoboken, NJ, USA: Wiley-Blackwell, 161–168.

Masumoto C, Miyazawa SI, Ohkawa H, Fukuda T, Taniguchi Y, Murayama S, Kusano M, Saito K, Fukayama H, Miyao M. 2010. Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase intrinsically located in the chloroplast of rice plays a crucial role in ammonium assimilation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 107: 5226–5231.

Matsuoka M, Kyozuka J, Shimamoto K, Kano-Murakami Y. 1994. The promoters of two carboxylases in a C4 plant (maize) direct cell-specific, light-regulated expression in a C3 plant (rice). *The Plant Journal* 6: 311–319.

Miao J, Guo D, Zhang J, Huang Q, Qin G, Zhang X, Wan J, Gu H, Qu LJ. 2013. Targeted mutagenesis in rice using CRISPR-Cas system. *Cell Research* 23: 1233–1236.

Ouwerkerk PBF, Meijer AH. 2001. Yeast one-hybrid screening for DNA-protein interactions. *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology* 55: 12.

Sage RF. 2004. The evolution of C4 photosynthesis. *New Phytologist* 161: 341–370.

Sage RF, Sage TL, Kocacinar F. 2012. Photorespiration and the evolution of C4 photosynthesis. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 63: 19–47.

Stockhaus J, Schlue U, Koczor M, Chitty JA, Taylor WC, Westhoff P. 1997. The promoter of the gene encoding the C4 form of phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase directs mesophyll-specific expression in transgenic C4 *Flaveria* spp. *Plant Cell* 9: 479–489.

Svensson P, Bläsing OE, Westhoff P. 2003. Evolution of C4 phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics* 414: 180–188.

Wise AA, Liu Z, Binns AN. 2006. Three methods for the introduction of foreign DNA into Agrobacterium. In: Wang K, ed. *Agrobacterium protocols*. Humana Totowa, NJ, USA: Springer, 43–54.

Yamamoto N, Tong W, Lv B, Peng Z, Yang Z. 2022. The original form of C4-photosynthetic phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase is retained in pooids but lost in rice. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 13: 905894.

Yan W, Li Z, Pian C, Wu Y. 2022. PlantBind: an attention-based multi-label neural network for predicting plant transcription factor binding sites. *Briefings in Bioinformatics* 23: bbac425.

Yanagisawa S, Sheen J. 1998. Involvement of maize Dof zinc finger proteins in tissue-specific and light-regulated gene expression. *Plant Cell* 10: 75–89.

Zhang HM, Li Y, Yao XN, Liang G, Yu D. 2017. POSITIVE REGULATOR OF IRON HOMEOSTASIS 1 (OsPRI1) positively regulates iron homeostasis in rice. *Plant Physiology* 175: 543–554.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Fig. S1 Analysis of the *Setaria viridis PEPC1* promoter activity over a 24-h time period.

Fig. S2 Electrophoretic mobility shift assay to analyse the interaction of OsPRI1 with the canonical bHLH binding motifs present in *Setaria viridis PEPC1* promoter.

Fig. S3 Analysis of the *Setaria viridis PEPC1* promoter activity under iron deficiency and the photosynthetic efficiency in the *Ospri1* loss-of-function rice mutant.

Fig. S4 Analysis of the cell-specific gene expression driven by the *Setaria viridis PEPC1* promoter in the *Ospri1* loss-of-function rice mutant.

Fig. S5 Phylogenetic analysis of the OsPRI1 homologues in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Setaria viridis*, *Zea mays* and *Dichanthelium oligosanthes*.

Fig. S6 Analysis of canonical bHLH binding sites in PEPC promoters from C_3 and C_4 species.

Table S1 List of primers used in this study.

Table S2 List of probes used for the electrophoretic mobility shift assays.

Table S3 List of interactors identified in the yeast one-hybrid screening.

Please note: Wiley is not responsible for the content or functionality of any Supporting Information supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the *New Phytologist* Central Office.